



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/005,391	12/05/2001	Rodney Earl Norwood	7780/16 (P-00303)	6990

7590 09/30/2003

Frank C. Nicholas  
CARDINAL LAW GROUP  
1603 Orrington Avenue, Suite 2000  
Evanston, IL 60201

EXAMINER

GREEN, CHRISTY MARIE

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3635

DATE MAILED: 09/30/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Office Action Summary**

Applicati n N .

10/005,391

Applicant(s)

NORWOOD, RODNEY

Examiner

Christy M Green

Art Unit

3635

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

**Status**

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05 December 2001.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**.                      2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

**Disposition of Claims**

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

**Application Papers**

- 9) ☒ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 05 December 2001 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☒ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.
- If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
- 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

**Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120**

- 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
- a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

**Attachment(s)**

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) \_\_\_\_\_.
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). \_\_\_\_\_.
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: \_\_\_\_\_.

### **DETAILED ACTION**

This is a first office action for serial number 10/005391, entitled Mobile Cellular Telephone Tower, filed on December 5, 2001.

#### ***Drawings***

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, a first extendable segment and the cellular telephone network testing equipment mounted on the tower must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered. Until clarification within the drawings is made, the examiner will interpret the claims accordingly.

A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

#### ***Specification***

The specification is objected to as failing to provide proper antecedent basis for the claimed subject matter. See 37 CFR 1.75(d)(1) and MPEP § 608.01(o). Correction of the following is required: within claims 1, 13 and 20, a first extendable segment is not disclosed and is unclear as to which segment is supposed to be the first extendable segment. Until further clarification is made in regards to this limitation, the examiner will interpret the claims as best understood.

***Claim R jections - 35 USC § 103***

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Harrell, Jr., US Patent # 5,537,125 in view of Korovesis, US patent # 5,394,162.

Harrell discloses the claimed invention a tower comprising a self-propelled base (12 – column 2, lines 37-40), a tower (10) connected to the base, the tower including a base segment (14) and at least a first extendable segment (16) operatively connected to a second extendable segment (18), a winch (46) or an electric winch (column 3, lines 35-37) connected to the base (column 6, lines 27-30), a first cable (44) connected between the base segment and the first extendable segment (column 6, lines 23-25), a second cable (50) connected between the winch (by 48) and the second extendable segment (column 6, lines 36-37); the winch (46) is connected to the first cable (column 6, lines 23-29); the first cable is connected to the second cable (column 6, lines 36-41); the first extendable segment (16) is nestable within the base segment (14); the second extendable segment (18) is nestable within the first extendable segment (16); the tower is movable between a stowed position (figure 3) and an operating position (figure 1 – by 28); a hydraulic motor (28) for moving the tower between the stowed position (figure 3) and the operating position (figure 1); the tower in the stowed position is oriented generally horizontally (figure 3 – column 5, lines 37-38); the tower in operating position

Art Unit: 3635

is oriented generally vertically (column 5, lines 63-68); and, at least one outrigger (32) connected to the base.

Harrell does not disclose cellular telephone network testing equipment mounted on the tower; the outrigger is hydraulically actuated; and, a generator mounted on the base. In regards to the cellular telephone network testing equipment mounted on the tower, Korovesis teaches that it is known in the art to provide a coupler for testing a cellular telephone through an antenna mount. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the same type of coupler for testing a cellular telephone through an antenna mount as taught by Korovesis with the antenna of Harrell, since it has been disclosed in Harrell that when the tower is to be used as an antenna, a connector is provided for attaching the cable from the transmitter (column 4, lines 64-68) and to permit an easy use and high durability coupler for testing cellular telephone equipment (column 1, lines 57-58).

In regards to the outrigger being hydraulically actuated and a generator mounted on the base. It would have been an obvious matter of design choice to have the outrigger being hydraulically actuated and a generator mounted on the base, since the applicant has not stated that these limitations are for any particular purpose and it seems that the invention would work equally well with the parts as cited in the references above.

### ***Conclusion***

Art Unit: 3635

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christy Green whose telephone number is 703-308-9693. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:00 am - 4:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Carl Friedman can be reached on 703-308-0839. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9326 for regular communications and 703-872-9327 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1113.

*Yvonne M. Horton*  
*ymh*

Cg  
September 22, 2003